Two-step synthesis of boron and nitrogen co-doped graphene as a synergistically enhanced catalyst for the oxygen reduction reaction†
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A two-step strategy was developed to co-dope graphene with boron and nitrogen as a metal-free catalyst for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). This method involved a hydrothermal reaction and a thermal annealing procedure, which guaranteed the efficient insertion of heteroatoms, producing B and N co-doped graphene frameworks (BNG) with high ORR reactivity, good stability and tolerance for methanol in alkaline media. The onset potential of BNG was −0.17 V, and the current density reached −5.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\) at the voltage of −0.8 V during the RDE test (vs. Ag/AgCl, rotating rate = 1600 rpm, scanning rate = 10 mV s\(^{-1}\)), comparable to a high-performance commercial Pt/C catalyst. It is believed that the superior catalytic reactivity arises from the synergistic coupling of the B and N dopants on the graphene domains.

Introduction

The past few decades have witnessed a tremendous growth in energy demand. Seeking economic, abundant and clean power sources has been the focus of plenty of efforts.\(^3\) Fuel cells based on the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) are deemed to be a promising solution to the energy crisis in view of their high-efficiency and zero-emission.\(^2\)

ORR catalysts are generally based on noble metals such as Pt and its alloys.\(^3,4\) Despite the adorable catalytic activity, however, the limited reserve of noble metals, their durability in corrosive environments and the poor tolerance for methanol had largely hindered the practical application.\(^5,6\) By comparison, carbon-based materials, or named “metal-free” catalysts, are cost-effective, highly stable and free from the catalyst deactivation.\(^6,9\) Among all the metal-free ORR catalysts, graphene has great potential due to its high specific surface areas, extraordinary electrical conductivity and prominent mechanical and chemical stability.\(^10\)

Pristine graphene is a zero bandgap material that hardly exhibits any ORR reactivities,\(^11\) but its catalytic performance can be massively improved by heteroatom doping, such as nitrogen, boron, sulphur, iodine and phosphor.\(^12,16\) This dramatically enhanced activity is believed to arise from the insertion of heteroatoms into sp\(^2\)-hybridized carbon frameworks, which breaks the electroneutrality between carbon and dopant, and creates charged sites favourable for the surface adsorption of \(O_2\).\(^2,17\)

There have been numerous efforts dedicated to investigating metal-free catalysts. Dai et al. first synthesized N-doped carbon nanotubes and indicated that the positively charged carbon atoms adjacent to nitrogen dopants were the active centers.\(^18\) Wu et al. and Zheng et al. prepared the N-doped graphene by hydrothermal reaction of graphite oxide (GO) with urea, suggesting that the remarkable ORR activity was related to the abundance and various types of N atoms contained.\(^19,20\) Sheng et al. confirmed that the electrocatalytic ability of B-doping was a result of electron accumulation in the vacant 2p orbital of the boron dopant. The B atoms transferred electrons to the chemically adsorbed O\(_2\) molecules, weakening the O–O bonds and facilitating the ORR.\(^6\) It comes naturally to a question whether co-doping graphene with B and N could offer a further enhanced performance, and the discovery of Yu et al. indicated that a B and N separated structure can also turn the inert graphene domain into active.\(^21\)

Nevertheless, the previous reports have not yet drawn an end to the issue of co-doping. Recent studies have revealed the existence of a synergistic coupling effect, predicting that a B–C–N heteroring, especially where a B atom is meta to a pyridinic N, would offer much more active performance than singly doped ones.\(^22\) Along with the assertion that the hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) formed in most of the one-step co-doping strategies hampers the ORR process,\(^23\) Wang et al. deliberately prepared B and N isolate-doped graphene nanosheets, and showed an appealing performance.\(^24\) These practices have
indicated clearly a direction to further exploit the ORR potential of B and N co-doped graphene.

In order to prepare desired doped samples, we propose a new, two-step synthesis strategy. In the first step, the hydrothermal reaction of graphite oxide (GO) with urea is used to produce precursors with sufficient N atoms, donated as NG.25 Subsequently, NG is mixed with boronic acid and thermally annealed in argon to produce B and N co-doped graphene, donated as BNG. Different from the reported co-doping strategies, our method does not require harsh and potentially hazardous conditions, for example, annealing the precursor with ammonia.22,23 Neither do we have to use/release expensive and toxic reagents during the whole process such as cobalt chloride and borane complexes.15,26 This makes the present synthesis strategy cost-effective, environmental friendly, and promises to be used in practical applications.

We characterize the electrocatalytic property of BNG in alkaline media, which exhibits excellent ORR reactivity, good durability and tolerance for methanol. The special B-C-N heterostructures on the BNG surface are believed to be the origin of the synergistic effect between B and N, which results in an enhanced performance of this catalyst. Meanwhile, the N-containing precursor with low oxygen content would be more favourable for effective B-doping process, given that the oxygen functional groups may affect the binding state of B, thereby decreasing the efficiency of dual-doped catalyst. We believe that these findings would be helpful for achieving the rational design of co-doped graphene catalysts.

Experimental section

Chemicals

Graphite powder 8099200 (120 μm) was purchased from Qingdao BCSM Co.; 98% H2SO4, urea and 30% H2O2 were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Regent Co.; sodium nitrate was purchased from Shanghai Qiangshun Chemical Co.; KMnO4 and ethanol were purchased from Shanghai Zhenxin Chemical Co.; α-Al2O3 (0.3 μm) and γ-Al2O3 (0.05 μm) were purchased from CH Instruments Inc.; boric acid, Pt/C catalyst 35849 (nominally 20% of Pt loading) and 5 wt% NaFion® solution were purchased from Alfasaer. All chemicals were used as received. Deionized (DI) water was used in all the experiments.

Characterizations

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2100F, operating at 200 kV) was used to study the morphology of NG and BNG. All Characterizations were conducted with Pyris 1 TGA, and nitrogen was used as purge gas. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were carried out on a PHI Quantera SXM™ scanning X-ray Microprobe™, Raman spectra were conducted on XploRA (HORIBA JobinYvon) laser Raman spectrometer (wavelength = 532 nm). X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out on a PANalytical X’Pert PRO X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54 Å) in 2θ range from 5 to 60°.

Material synthesis

Graphite oxide (GO). GO was synthesized via Hummer’s method. 1 g of graphite powder was added into a mixture of 23 mL of 98% H2SO4 and 0.75 g of NaNO3, followed by the addition of 3 g of KMnO4. The reactants were kept for 45 min at 35 °C. Afterwards, DI water and 10 mL of 30% H2O2 were added.

The as-prepared GO was transferred into dialysis bags and kept in DI water for 1 week to remove residual acid. GO was freeze-dried and kept in vacuum.

Nitrogen-doped graphene (NG). NG was synthesized via hydrothermal reaction of GO in the presence of urea. 0.5 g of GO was first dissolved in 500 mL of DI water and 150 g of urea was subsequently added. The suspension was ultrasonically treated for 2 h to achieve homogeneous dispersion.

The above suspension was then poured into autoclaves, sealed and put into oven to complete the hydrothermal reaction at 180 °C. After 12 h, the autoclave was cooled to room temperature.

Finally, BNG was put into 100 mL of 80 °C DI water and stirred for 1 h to dissolve the impurities. The product was filtered, washed, and dried in a 60 °C vacuum oven for 12 h.

Electrode preparation and electrochemical characterizations. All the working electrodes were prepared under the same condition. For instance, when preparing the BNG electrode, 2 mg sample was added into the mixture of 1.8 mL ethanol and 0.2 mL NaFion® D-520 dispersion (5% w/w in water and 1-propanol). The mixture was further homogenized via ultrasonication for 2 h, with a concentration of 1 mg mL⁻¹.

Subsequently, 20 μL of the catalyst solution was transferred onto the surface of glassy carbon electrode with a diameter of 5 mm via a controlled drop casting approach.

The electrochemical characterization was conducted in a three-electrode system, of which the reference electrode is Ag/AgCl in a saturated KCl–AgCl solution and the counter electrode is a platinum foil.

Linear sweep voltammetry (LSVs), cyclic voltammetry (CVs) and rotating disk electrode (RDE) tests were carried out on CHI 605 electrochemical workstation (CHI Inc., USA). The electrolyte is a 0.1 mol L⁻¹ KOH solution that had been purged with oxygen/nitrogen. During the whole characterization process, a steady flow of oxygen/nitrogen was used to ensure the continued saturation.
Results and discussion

We synthesize the catalyst following a two-step procedure. Firstly, GO was treated with urea to form NG by a hydrothermal reaction. Subsequently, BNG was prepared through thermally annealing NG with boric acid.

Morphologies of NG and BNG were studied via transmission electron microscopy (TEM). As shown in Fig. 1a, the slice-like morphology of NG is a result of the exfoliation of GO before the hydrothermal treatment, and the wrinkled and crumpled structure come from the shearing and crushing effect during the hydrothermal treatment. After annealing, the wrinkled morphology remains to be reserved in BNG (Fig. 1b).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is a powerful tool to characterize the doping levels of heteroatoms. In the wide scan spectra (Fig. 2a), the peaks at 400 eV and 192 eV refer to the nitrogen and boron atoms respectively. The atomic percentage of nitrogen was found to be 9.34% in NG precursor and it declined to 6.80% in BNG. Meanwhile, the atomic percentage of boron in BNG was found to be 4.82%, suggesting successful incorporation of B into graphene upon annealing. The peak deconvolution reveals detailed configurations of the dopants, and to minimize its influence, we fixed all fitting parameters according to the literature. The slight variation of full width half maximum (FWHM) in N1s spectra for NG and BNG would not cause significant deviation to the final result. After many times of fitting optimization, the relative error was estimated to be smaller than 10%. (More details of XPS peak deconvolution are presented in Table S1 in the ESI†).

The N1s XPS spectra (Fig. 2b and c) reveal the local chemical binding states and relative contents of different N atoms in the precursor and the final product, namely graphitic (also quaternary), pyrrolic and pyridinic. Graphitic N is a replacement of C atoms in the graphene basal plane that linked with three C atoms in a hexagonal ring; pyrrolic N exists in a five-member ring, donating two p-electrons to the π-conjugated system; pyridinic N occurs in six-member ring on the edges, donating one p-electron to the aromatic system. After thermal treatment, we found that the content of different N atoms in graphene varied obviously. Although the content of pyridinic N remained constant, pyrrolic N decreased from 47.4% in the precursor to 39.9% in BNG while the content of graphitic N increased from 10.8% to 18.8%. This phenomenon can be explained as follows. Among three types of N atoms, graphitic N has the highest thermal stability while the stability of pyrrolic N is the lowest. The relative percentage of pyridinic N species is primarily dependent on two contradictory factors: (1) increase by lowering the content of pyrrolic N; (2) decrease by increasing the content of graphitic N. The interplay between these two factors results in a nearly constant pyridinic N% at 900 °C. The conversion of pyrrolic N to pyridinic and graphitic N may also contribute to this trend, as reported by Lin et al. The loss of pyrrolic N in BNG does not decrease the ORR reactivity, because the latter does not seem to relate to the total nitrogen content in N-doped graphene, as suggested by Lai et al.

It has been recognized that graphitic N and pyridinic N play critical roles in determining the ORR performance of the material. The former mainly accounts for the conductivity and determines the limiting current density, while the latter leads to the attachment of oxygen and controls the onset potential. It is also suggested that the catalytic activity for ORR is more dependent on the graphitic N at exposed zigzag edges. Hence, we speculate that the increased level of graphitic N in BNG would promote the ORR performance of doped graphene.

The O1s XPS (See Fig. S1†) results reveal the existence of B–O bonds (BE = 533.6 eV) in the final product. Yet in the N1s XPS of BNG, compared with NG, there is few evidence that justifies the formation of N–B bonds (BE = 398 eV). Given the content of oxygen in the NG precursor, as well as the fact that boric acid tends to combine with the unreduced O-containing groups during annealing (eventually will lead to by-products that are hard to be eliminated via heat treatment), it is more likely that the B atoms in BNG exist in the form of B–C and B–O bonds instead of h-BN. Therefore, we deconvoluted the B1s XPS
(Fig. 2d) into BC$_3$ (BE = 190.0 eV), B–C–O (including BC$_2$O and BCO$_2$, BE = 191.2 eV), and B–O (BE = 192.7 eV) moieties. As a result, the structure of B–C–N heterorings is very likely to exist in BNG. This is because its major components, B–C and C–N bonds, have already been justified in the XPS spectra while N–B bonds cannot be observed in the N1s spectrum. However, due to the complicated surface chemical states of the dopants, it is hard to determine exactly the binding energy and to distinguish it from different moieties with similar BE values, refer to Table S2 in the ESI.† What’s more, it is noted that the efficiency of B-doping was lowered, since many B atoms linked with O atoms around 1340 and 1580 cm$^{-1}$ the structure of the lattice plane of the graphene sheets. Based on these results, the K–L plots ($j$ vs. $\omega^{-1/2}$) at different potentials all exhibit good linearity (Fig. 3d). This means that the catalytic mechanism obeys a first-order kinetic with respect to the O$_2$ concentration. The numbers of electrons transferred per O$_2$ molecule at various potentials, $n$, were fitted and also shown in Fig. 3d. The values of $n$, which were larger than 3.8 below $–4.0$ V, are much higher than that of the NG precursor that was produced through the same hydrothermal reaction ($≈3.5$ at $–0.6$ V). This indicates a better selectivity for the efficient 4e$^–$ pathway. The kinetic current density, $j_k$, is the key to quantitatively evaluating the nature of catalysts. For instance, at $–0.6$ V, the $j_k$ of BNG equals $12$ mA cm$^{-2}$, being larger than that of both the N-doped graphene (N content $= 9.7$ at%, $j_k \leq 3$ mA cm$^{-2}$)$^{38}$ and boric acid-annealed GO (B content $= 3.6$ at%, $j_k \approx 3.5$ mA cm$^{-2}$).$^{22}$ Since BNG has a lower N content than the NG precursor, it is impossible to reach such a value by directly adding N-doped graphene and B-doped graphene’s $j_k$ values. Meanwhile, it should be emphasized that the overall catalytic activity is not practically a simple superposition between contributions of B and N elements, but also a result of a synergistic effect between them.

To elucidate this, we classify the possible active sites on BNG into two types. The first type belongs to separated N or B atoms in graphene. In this case, both the C atoms close to N atoms and graphitic B atoms are reactive. As long as B is not directly linked with N, the two heteroatoms can preserve their intrinsic ORR activities.$^{18,21}$ The second type are B–C–N heterorings. It is studied that especially when a B atom is meta to a pyridinic N, the 2p orbital of the C atoms located between N and B can be polarized by N, and allow to donate extra electrons to the adjacent B atom. The B atom is thus “activated” since its electron occupancy is increased and facilitates the adsorption and bonding with O$_2$. Calculations related to the energy gap between the highest-occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest-unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of graphene are in agreement with this explanation. $^{23}$

BNG’s curve had a more positive onset potential, a more obvious oxygen reduction peak and a flatter plateau. This indicates the enhanced ORR reactivity after B-doping.

To further study the corresponding mechanism, we carried out RDE tests at different rotating rates (see Fig. 3c). The Koutecky–Levich (K–L) equation was applied to calculate the number of electrons transferred per oxygen molecule:

$$\frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{j_k} + \frac{1}{j_B}$$

where $j_k$ is the kinetic current density and $\omega$ is the rotating speed expressed in rpm. The value of $B$ can be expressed as follows:

$$B = 0.2nF(DO_2)^{1/2}v^{-1/6}CO_2,$$

where $n$ is the electron transfer number per oxygen molecule, $F$ is the Faraday constant (96 485 C mol$^{-1}$), $DO_2$ is the diffusion coefficient of oxygen in 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ KOH (1.9 $\times$ $10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$), $v$ is the viscosity of the electrolyte solution (0.01 cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$), $CO_2$ is the concentration of oxygen dissolved (1.2 $\times$ $10^{-4}$ mol cm$^{-3}$).

The K–L plots ($j$ vs. $\omega^{-1/2}$) at different potentials all exhibit good linearity (Fig. 3d). This means that the catalytic mechanism obeys a first-order kinetic with respect to the O$_2$ concentration. The numbers of electrons transferred per O$_2$ molecule at various potentials, $n$, were fitted and also shown in Fig. 3d. The values of $n$, which were larger than 3.8 below $–4.0$ V, are much higher than that of the NG precursor that was produced through the same hydrothermal reaction ($≈3.5$ at $–0.6$ V). This indicates a better selectivity for the efficient 4e$^–$ pathway. The kinetic current density, $j_k$, is the key to quantitatively evaluating the nature of catalysts. For instance, at $–0.6$ V, the $j_k$ of BNG equals $12$ mA cm$^{-2}$, being larger than that of both the N-doped graphene (N content $= 9.7$ at%, $j_k \leq 3$ mA cm$^{-2}$)$^{38}$ and boric acid-annealed GO (B content $= 3.6$ at%, $j_k \approx 3.5$ mA cm$^{-2}$).$^{22}$ Since BNG has a lower N content than the NG precursor, it is impossible to reach such a value by directly adding N-doped graphene and B-doped graphene’s $j_k$ values. Meanwhile, it should be emphasized that the overall catalytic activity is not practically a simple superposition between contributions of B and N elements, but also a result of a synergistic effect between them.

To elucidate this, we classify the possible active sites on BNG into two types. The first type belongs to separated N or B atoms in graphene. In this case, both the C atoms close to N atoms and graphitic B atoms are reactive. As long as B is not directly linked with N, the two heteroatoms can preserve their intrinsic ORR activities.$^{18,21}$ The second type are B–C–N heterorings. It is studied that especially when a B atom is meta to a pyridinic N, the 2p orbital of the C atoms located between N and B can be polarized by N, and allow to donate extra electrons to the adjacent B atom. The B atom is thus “activated” since its electron occupancy is increased and facilitates the adsorption and bonding with O$_2$. Calculations related to the energy gap between the highest-occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest-unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of graphene are in agreement with this explanation. $^{23}$

Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was applied to investigate the ORR performance of the catalyst. Three different kinds of catalysts, namely the NG precursor, BNG and a high-performance commercial Pt/C catalyst were coated on the surface of a GC rotating disk electrode. Their ORR properties were tested in a 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ KOH solution with a rotating rate of 1600 rpm and a scan rate of 10 mV s$^{-1}$. Apparently, after B-doping, BNG exhibited a significantly improved reactivity, where the limiting diffusion current density at $–0.8$ V increased from $–3.4$ to $–5.5$ mA cm$^{-2}$. This value is comparable to other reported results (see Table S1†). The onset potential of BNG was $–0.17$ V (vs. Ag/AgCl), which was not as positive as that of Pt/C ($–0.08$ V) but still higher than that of NG ($–0.21$ V).

The results of cyclic voltammetry (scan rate = 100 mV s$^{-1}$) are shown in Fig. 3b. Compared with the CV curve of NG in O$_2$, the complicated surface chemical states of the dopants, it is hard to determine exactly the binding energy and to distinguish it from different moieties with similar BE values, refer to Table S2 in the ESI.† What’s more, it is noted that the efficiency of B-doping was lowered, since many B atoms linked with O atoms around 1340 and 1580 cm$^{-1}$ the structure of the lattice plane of the graphene sheets. Based on these results, the thicknesses of NG and BNG layers are found to be 3.56 Å and 3.33 Å, respectively. The former represents a typical structure of exfoliated, reduced graphene sheets (the NG precursor), while the latter implies that the pyrolysis has caused the dense restacking of graphene sheets, and partial restoration of graphite properties. $^{15}$

The kinetic current density, $j_k$, is the key to quantitatively evaluating the nature of catalysts. For instance, at $–0.6$ V, the $j_k$ of BNG equals $12$ mA cm$^{-2}$, being larger than that of both the N-doped graphene (N content $= 9.7$ at%, $j_k \leq 3$ mA cm$^{-2}$)$^{38}$ and boric acid-annealed GO (B content $= 3.6$ at%, $j_k \approx 3.5$ mA cm$^{-2}$).$^{22}$ Since BNG has a lower N content than the NG precursor, it is impossible to reach such a value by directly adding N-doped graphene and B-doped graphene’s $j_k$ values. Meanwhile, it should be emphasized that the overall catalytic activity is not practically a simple superposition between contributions of B and N elements, but also a result of a synergistic effect between them.

To elucidate this, we classify the possible active sites on BNG into two types. The first type belongs to separated N or B atoms in graphene. In this case, both the C atoms close to N atoms and graphitic B atoms are reactive. As long as B is not directly linked with N, the two heteroatoms can preserve their intrinsic ORR activities.$^{18,21}$ The second type are B–C–N heterorings. It is studied that especially when a B atom is meta to a pyridinic N, the 2p orbital of the C atoms located between N and B can be polarized by N, and allow to donate extra electrons to the adjacent B atom. The B atom is thus “activated” since its electron occupancy is increased and facilitates the adsorption and bonding with O$_2$. Calculations related to the energy gap between the highest-occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest-unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of graphene are in agreement with this explanation. $^{23}$

Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was applied to investigate the ORR performance of the catalyst.

Three different kinds of catalysts, namely the NG precursor, BNG and a high-performance commercial Pt/C catalyst were coated on the surface of a GC rotating disk electrode. Their ORR properties were tested in a 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ KOH solution with a rotating rate of 1600 rpm and a scan rate of 10 mV s$^{-1}$. Apparently, after B-doping, BNG exhibited a significantly improved reactivity, where the limiting diffusion current density at $–0.8$ V increased from $–3.4$ to $–5.5$ mA cm$^{-2}$. This value is comparable to other reported results (see Table S1†). The onset potential of BNG was $–0.17$ V (vs. Ag/AgCl), which was not as positive as that of Pt/C ($–0.08$ V) but still higher than that of NG ($–0.21$ V).

The results of cyclic voltammetry (scan rate = 100 mV s$^{-1}$) are shown in Fig. 3b. Compared with the CV curve of NG in O$_2$,
Apparently, our two-step synthesis strategy had successfully achieved synergistically improved ORR catalytic properties. However, it should be mentioned that the hydrothermal treatment practically causes a limited reduction of GO, residual oxygen-containing groups still exist in the final product and probably hinder the doping of B to some extent, eventually leading to the formation of inactive B–O structures.

To evaluate the durability of BNG, we compared it with the Pt/C catalyst at a constant voltage of $-0.3\text{ V}$ on the disk electrode with a rotating rate of 1000 rpm (see Fig. 3e). The result is presented in the form of relative current intensity ($I/I_0$), which is the ratio of the current density at a certain moment to the initial value. For the commercial Pt/C catalyst, $I/I_0$ decays rapidly to 66% while BNG exhibits a very slow decline, and after 30 000 s still retains 86% of the initial reactivity. Although this durability was not the best one, it was comparable to other counterparts. This could arise from the existence of many ORR-inert oxygen functional groups in BNG. During the test, the elimination of these groups could cause the structural decomposition and damage the durability of the catalyst.

Based on these results, it is apparent that the incorporation of nitrogen and boron atoms affords an effective approach to synergistically improve the ORR reactivity of graphene. Nevertheless, the role of oxygen groups has seldom been involved in this respect. Our results indicate that the oxygen groups might affect the durability and reactivity in an underlying way. Therefore, we suggest that for two-step doping strategies, a precursor with less oxygen groups is expected to be favourable for effective B-doping. Because this will lead to a higher
combination degree of BC$_3$ in the final catalyst, as mentioned in Zheng’s study.\(^{22}\)

For Pt-based ORR catalysts, the poor methanol tolerance has been a limited factor that is hard to overcome. We recorded the current–time relationship of Pt/C and BNG at a potential of –0.3 V in the presence of methanol. In Fig. 4a, a mixture of CH$_3$OH and KOH was added into the electrolyte at the 300$^{th}$ second to adjust the concentration of methanol to 3 mol L$^{-1}$. It is found that although the relative current density of the Pt/C electrode remarkably declined (69%) immediately after methanol addition, the effect of methanol on the reactivity of BNG is negligible.

The result of cyclic voltammetry (CV) also exhibits a similar trend. As shown in Fig. 4b, the curve shape of BNG is independent of the existence of methanol, displaying a peak ORR potential around –0.2 V. In contrast, when cycling in methanol, the CV curve of Pt/C (Fig. 4c) completely deformed, exhibiting a broad, cross-over peak of methanol oxidation (from –0.4 V to 0 V).\(^{20}\) This clearly demonstrated a good methanol tolerance of the metal-free catalyst we prepared toward the ORR.

**Conclusions**

We have proposed a two-step method to prepare N and B co-doped graphene as an effective metal-free ORR catalyst. The synthesis involved a hydrothermal step of GO and urea to prepare NG and an annealing step of the mixture of NG and boric acid to give BNG. The final product BNG exhibited excellent ORR performance and a synergistic improvement effect between N and B. B–C–N heterorings on the BNG surface were deemed to be the origin of this synergistic effect. In such a structure, the 2p orbital of C atoms located between N and B makes the free flowing of $\pi$ electrons possible, activating the B atoms to facilitate the surface adsorption of O$_2$. In addition, we suggest that the content of oxygen in the precursor might influence the second doping step, and diminish the durability and reactivity of the catalyst. The N/B co-doped catalyst showed excellent methanol tolerance and improved durability, which is superior to the commercial Pt/C catalyst. Compared with other synthetic methods, our approach requires only common chemicals, nontoxic precursors and relatively mild reaction conditions, is thus cost-effective and environmental friendly. The high performance and excellent stability of BNG make it a promising catalyst for ORR in alkaline fuel cells.
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